

Appendix 5 Surrey

Fire and Rescue Service Performance Report Q2 2023 Summary Dashboard

KPI overview

12 of the 26 KPIs with targets set are green, 6 are amber and 8 are red. The 12 green indicators highlight a continued focus on:

- Vulnerable Communities High percentage of Safe and Well Visits (SAWV) to vulnerable people.
- Well trained staff to respond to the needs of our communities 100% of core competencies in date and low levels of days lost to injury.
- Responding to Emergencies High performance from 999 call taking, appliance availability, through to responding to incidents.



Customer outcomes

	Performance Indicator	Good to be	Latest result	Target	Change from previous result
Page	C01: Number of Safe and Well Visits completed or submitted for audit (combination of Safe and Well and Home Fire Safety Checks)	High	2,725 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=>3,555	No change
96	C02: % of SAWVs to vulnerable people	High	85% (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=> 70%	No change
	C04: Number of Business Safe and Well Visits completed or submitted for audit	High	711 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=> 620	No change

	U
	മ
ĺ	0
	Ð
	(0

Performance Indicator	Good to be	Latest result	Target	Change from previous result
CO8: Increase in website visits for information and advice for residents and businesses (visits to all SFRS pages)	High	21,230 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	Increase on 22/23 total of 64,067	Improved
CO9: Response to FOI requests - % within timescale	High	88% (Apr 23 to Aug 23)	=> 90%	Improved
CO10: Response to complaints - % within timescale	High	83% (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=> 90%	No change



Key messages and insight

Are we keeping vulnerable adults safe?

- Continuing high percentage of Safe and Well Visits to those most vulnerable.
- Introduction of District and Borough (D&B) specific One Stop Surrey forms which directs support according to risk and services available.
- Installing Carbon Monoxide alarms to protect those at risk.

Are we enabling people to live independent and fulfilling lives?

- One Stop Surrey continues to direct people to additional services to help with independent living.
- Exploring health interventions with NHS and Integrated Care Board to support hospital discharge for vulnerable people.

What's the experience of people using our services, including complaints?

Community Survey undertaken in preparation for the development of CRMP, 986 responses.



Are we improving outcomes for people?

- Development of Persons at Risk Referral Form with Adult Social Care (ASC) to enhance multi-agency referral process and make it more directed to risk.
- Community Risk Profile (CRP) was shared with and reviewed by Leaders Forum, ensuring transparency and provided the opportunity to shape its contents.

What's the quality of our care and services?

- Additional Safeguarding Officers trained to deliver services at the frontline.
- SFRS provides domestic abuse support and response 24/7 as part of the SCC delivery model.

What's the progress or impact of key projects & programmes to improve outcomes?

- Risk Management Database (Prevent and Protect) replacement to effectively identify and record risk information.
- FireWatch Staff rostering and learning and development system upgraded to support cultural improvement, efficiency and productivity outcomes.



Key projects and programmes

- Evaluation framework in place for prevention activities and being enhanced for all activities.
- Response projects looking at increasing capability and skills in mitigating wildfire, water and road risks are underway, as are projects
 related to improved IT provision to support community working and increasing productivity.
- Implementing an effective risk management database, workforce system enhancements and asset management will achieve better customer outcomes.

- New ways of working, supported by technology New devices going to Fire Stations, SIM cards providing agile working.
- Productivity and efficiency focus on Fire Station based activity Change of management direction.
- · Review projects, processes and supporting KPIs following the outcomes from HMICFRS Inspection.
- Safelincs providing guidance for all persons and referring those at risk to SFRS.
- CRMP Development.

Operational efficiency

	Performance Indicator	Good to be	Latest result	Latest target	Change from previous result
Page	OE1: % of schools with which the Service has successfully engaged	High	61% (Sep 22 to July 23)	New KPI – no target set	
e 101	OE2: Number of Safe and Well Visits completed or submitted for audit (combination of Safe and Well and Home Fire Safety Checks)	High	2,725 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=>3,555	
	OE3: % of SAWVs to vulnerable people	High	85% (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=>70%	



	Performance Indicator	Good to be	Latest result	Latest target	Change from previous result
	OE4: Average time between receipt of emergency call by JFC and station end activation (assigned to station)	Low to mid	1m 34s (Sep 23)	< 2m	
Daga 103	OE5: Front line appliance (fire engine) availability (based on average On-Call appliance availability)	High	21 Day 18 Night (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=> 20 Day 16 Night	•
	OE6: Increase in website visits for information and advice for residents and businesses (visits to all SFRS pages)	High	21,230 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	Increase on 22/23 total of 64,067	
	OE7: Operational Premises Surveys and Tactical Consideration Records completed.	High	324 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=> 379	



Key messages and insights

How timely and efficient are we?

- CRMP including efficiency outcomes and measures.
- Workforce number improvements have led to positive response times and availability at a 3-year performance high.

How effective are our pathways for new contacts/referrals?

- ASC relationships from strategic to local delivery, including D&B teams, are improving.
- Working with outreach providers to support domestic abuse survivors and their families such as delivering family days.

How responsive are we?

• Availability, call taking and mobilising is supported by a dynamic approach to risk modelling, ensuring right resources, in the right place, at the right time.



Is our workload at a level that enables good quality delivery?

Clear and consistent Borough/Station targets developed, there is a clear focus on improving productivity whilst maintaining targeted activity towards our most vulnerable residents Recruitment and Retention project set out workforce planning objectives and reporting to ensure we can maximise capacity. Skills mix being improved.

How well are we exploring opportunities for digital transformation? • Asset Strategy in place, focus on data incide:

- Asset Strategy in place, focus on data insight, bringing teams together.
- Prevent and Protect, Telematics and Asset Management Systems. Chatbot in Joint Fire Control

Key projects and programmes

- Updated Borough and Station Plans focus on efficiency and productivity of community outcomes.
- Delivery of On-Call project Improvements resulting in improved availability and morale. Improved leadership and engagement Introduction of dedicated On-Call management team.
- Enhancing efficiency through the provision of fit for purpose assets Projects include IT upgrades, fire appliance replacements and property renovations and rebuilds.



- Further developing relationships with health providers (NHS).
- Data transparency, bring remaining staff information and data teams together.
- CRP work and accessibility.
- Fire Station laptops upgrades and new systems implemented.
- Delivery of On-Call workstreams, i.e., flexible mobilising and county crewing (breaking down barriers to availability based on location).





Demand and supply/Finance

	Performance Indicator	Good to be	Latest result	Latest target	Change from previous result
Page 1	DS01: Average time of first appliance to critical incidents	Low	7m 29s (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=<10m	No Change
106	DS02: Average time of second appliance to critical incidents where applicable	Low	12m 17s (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=<15m	No Change
	DS03: Average time of response to other emergencies	Low	7m 47s (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=<16m	No Change

ס
മ
Q
ወ
_
0

Performance Indicator	Good to be	Latest result	Latest target	Change from previous result
DS04: Front line appliance availability (based on average OC appliance availability)	High	21 Day 18 Night (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=> 20 Day 16 Night	No Change
DS05: Number of Fire incidents	Low	1,162 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	Count only	
DS06: Number of Special Service incidents	Low	1,801 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	Count only	
DS07: Number of fire false alarms	Low	2,205 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	Count only	1



Key messages and insights

How well are we reducing avoidable demand?

- Significant reduction in attendance at AFAs (Industrial/Commercial Premises Non-Sleeping) through policy changes which saw a drop from a monthly average of 87 such incidents attended in the six months prior to the policy change down to 2 in the six months following.
- · Working with business owners to educate and support with new post-Grenfell legislation.
- Increased support on-line for business owners, e.g., reporting form for fire safety defects.

How well are we managing our supply costs?

- Delivery of Internal Audit Action Plan on Contract Management.
- Improved governance arrangements in place to monitor costs and future plans.

What are the key drivers of unplanned costs?

- Operational tempo during the summer period.
- Contingency arrangements to respond to risk of industrial action.



- HMICFRS outcomes may lead to unplanned costs.
- Pay negotiation outcomes and pension changes.

How effective is our prevention/protection work at reducing response activity?

Low numbers of fire incidents, special services and false alarms through increased prevention and protection activity.

Hat's the progress or impact of key projects & programmes to improve efficiency?

 Enhancing efficiency through the provision of fit for purpose assets – Projects include IT upgrades, fire appliance replacements and property renovations and rebuilds.

Key projects and programmes

- Reducing the impact of AFAs through further policy changes.
- Supporting introduction of new fire safety legislation
- Changes to standby policy to reduce unnecessary movement across the county.



- Recruitment and Retention Project.
- Wildfire Project Training, PPE and welfare.
- Road Traffic Collision Project and Peer review, aims to reduce incident numbers/severity.

- Further reduce the impact of Automatic Fire Alarm (AFA) (Industrial/Commercial Premises Evaluate Phase One and develop Phase Two reviewing Industrial/Commercial with Sleeping Accommodation.
- Data intelligence, delivery of Data Management Fire Standard and framework to have open and transparent data.
- Logistics compliance review to influence future operating model and system requirements.
- Finalise extended and combined capital programme and procurement plan monitoring through internal governance.



Enablers

	Performance Indicator	Good to be	Latest result	Latest target	Change from previous result
ם	E01: Frontline appliance availability (based on average OC appliance availability)	High	21 Day 18 Night (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=> 20 Day 16 Night	
ane 111	E02: Actual staff establishment versus budget	Matched	93% (Sep 23)	100%	
	E04: % of staff hired from underrepresented groups:	Near County profile	4 out of 5 of the protected characteristics are under-represented in recruits (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	0 out of 5 under- represented	

				•	anyllina
	Performance Indicator	Good to be	Latest result	Latest target	Change from previous result
	E05: Days/shifts lost to sickness absence – Year to date	Low	5.96 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=<6.5	
Page 112	E06: Days lost to sickness absence – 12 month rolling year	Low	12.9 (Oct 22 to Sep 23)	=<6.5	-
	E07: Near-misses as a % of all workplace incidents	High	73% (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	>76%	
	E08: Days lost to injury	Low	55 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	=<197	1

U
Ø
Ω
Ф
_
_
S

Performance Indicator	Good to be	Latest result	Latest target	Change from previous result
E09: Fitness assessments in date	High	98% (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	100%	
E10: 3 yearly medical assessments in date	High	87% (Jan 23 to Sep 23)	100%	1
E11: 8 core competencies in date	High	8 out of 8 achieved target (Sep 23)	=>95%	1



Key messages and insights

What are our key workforce challenges? Are our plans having a positive effect?

- Recruitment and Retention Project with a focus now on skills mix (Crew Commanders and Drivers).
- Working to improve the qualitative/quantitative return of performance conversations and providing training for managers.
 Communications plan in place.
- Robust absence management supported by appropriate training and management restructure to improve accountability and scrutiny. Reduction overall in Long-Term Sick and Non-Operational Duties cases.
- Retention, recruitment and sickness absence within the occupational health team led to a reduction in those attending 3-yearly medicals.
- L&D re-structure is increasing the number of instructors to achieve core competencies.

What are our key recruitment challenges? Are our plans having a positive effect?

• Diverse workforce representing the communities we serve. Recruitment processes being reviewed and continuous engagement with underrepresented groups.

What practices need to improve?

A Talent Management Framework has been developed, now embedding through engagement.



 An EDI Team are in post and will focus on improving engagement, including the implementation of the outputs of the Asian Fire Service Association (AFSA) audit and recommendations from associated culture reports.

Key projects and programmes

- Case management review Introduced a new case support process/meeting.
- Positive action activities have taken place at Fire Stations over the last 2 months to encourage women into the service. #surreyfirefit initiative cascading to other Fire Stations.
- Workforce of the Future Project being scoped.
- Further development of talent management, succession planning and critical roles.
- Trade Union negotiation Scope of work completed. Communication to the workforce in November.
- Cultural Review Action Plan.

- Gap analysis of HMICFRS Values and Culture Spotlight Report and others Informing workforce plans.
- Disclosure Barring Service (DBS) Project.



- Launch of 'Speak Up' Service.
- Fire Standards Leading the Service and Leading and Developing People development.
- Microlink Rollout Workplace solution focusing on reasonable adjustments.



Additional performance information

Ref	KPI	Q2 22/23	Q3 22/23	Q4 22/23	Q1 23/24	Q2 23/24	Benchmark
CO1	Number of Safe and Well Visits	1,827	2,956	4,014	1,311	2,725	Currently targeting 426 per month. England average is 507 (Home
	completed or submitted for	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	Office Fire Stats 21/22)
	audit	Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23)	
CO2	% of SAWVs to vulnerable	84%	86%	86%	86%	85%	No direct comparison as local definition of vulnerable. However,
	people	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	against national definition England average is 39% and Surrey is
		Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23)	42 % (HO Fire Stats 21/22)
CO4	Number of Business Safe and	924	1,302	1,622	377	711	Not comparable as other FRSs do not provide this service
o l	Well Visits completed or	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	
	submitted for audit	Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23)	
7							
CO6	Response to FOI requests - %	76%	67%	65%	82%	83%	At Surrey CC 91% had a response within timescale (SCC FOI
	within timescale	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	database 22/23)
		Aug 22)	Dec 22)	Feb 23)	May 23)	Sep 23)	
CO7	Response to complaints - %	100%	80%	78%	80%	83%	At Surrey CC 63% of stage 1 complaints had a response within
007	within timescale	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 Dec	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	timescale (Customer Relations Snapshot 22/23)
	Within timescale	Aug 22)	22)	Feb 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23)	umesodie (Odstomer Relations Onapshot 22/20)
CO8	Increase in website visits for	45,132	52,239	64,067	9,539	21,230	Not comparable
	information and advice for	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	140t comparable
	residents and businesses	Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23)	
OE1	% of schools with which the	N/A	43%	59%	59%	61%	Not comparable
02.	Service has successfully		(Sep 22 to	(Sep 22 to	(Sep 22 to	(Sep 22 to	The comparable
	engaged		Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Mar 23)	July 23)	
				5/			
		L	L	L	I .	L	I .

Page 23



	Ref	KPI	Q2 22/23	Q3 22/23	Q4 22/23	Q1 23/24	Q2 23/24	Benchmark
	OE4 Average time between receipt		1m 45s	1m 32s	1m 31s	1m 37s	1m 34s	The England average call handling time for dwelling fires was 1m
		of emergency call by JFC and	(Sep 22)	(Dec 22)	(Mar 23)	(Jun 23)	(Sep 23)	12s (HO Fire Stats 21/22). This is not directly comparable as the
		station end activation						Surrey measure includes all 'Critical' and 'Other Emergency'
								mobilisations.
	OE5	Frontline appliance (fire engine)	21 Day	20 Day	21 Day	22 Day	21 Day	No direct comparison available.
		availability	19 Night	18 Night	18 Night	19 Night	18 Night	
			(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	
			Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep23)	
Ŋ	OE7	Operational Premises Surveys	236	443	830	153	324	Currently targeting 63 per month, England average
Page		and Tactical Consideration	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	is 60 (HMICFRS Data Analysis 21/22)
()		Records completed	Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23	
18	NEW	Number of Business Safety	457	677	967	273	557	Not comparable
30		Audits (short and standard)	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	
		completed	Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23)	



Additional performance information

Ref	KPI	Q2 22/23	Q3 22/23	Q4 22/23	Q1 23/24	Q2 23/24	Benchmark
DS1	Average time of first appliance	7m 35s	7m 32s	7m 29s	7m 10s	7m 28s	The England response time (turnout plus drive time) for dwelling
	to critical incidents	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	fires was 6m 39s (HO Fire Stats 21/22). Note – response
		Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23)	standards are set by individual services, there is no sector
							standard.
DS2	Average time of second	12m 33s	12m 19s	12m 14s	12m 38s	12m 16s	Not comparable
	appliance to critical incidents	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	
0	where applicable	Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23	
ادّ							
DS3	Average time of response to	8m 24s	8m 16s	8m 7s	7m 37s	7m 47s	Not comparable
7	other emergencies	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	
0		Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23)	
DS5	Number of fire incidents	1,630	2,026	2,395	628	1,162	England average of 11,014 per million people and Surrey average
		(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	of 9,126 (HO Fire Stats 22/23)
		Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23)	
DS6	Number of Special Service	1,799	2,963	3,827	881	1,801	England average of 3,524 per million people and Surrey average of
	incidents	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	3,247 (HO Fire Stats 22/23)
		Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23)	
DS7	Number of Automatic False	2,686	3,719	4,615	998	2,205	England average of 2,966 per million people and Surrey average of
	Alarms	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 22 to	(Apr 23 to	(Apr 23 to	2,651 (HO Fire Stats 22/23)
		Sep 22)	Dec 22)	Mar 23)	Jun 23)	Sep 23)	



Data Appendix – Enablers

R	ef KPI		Q2 22/23	Q3 22/23	Q4 22/23	Q1 22/23	Q2 23/24	Benchmark
E	2 Actual staff establishme	nt versus	93% (Sep 22)	94% (Dec 22)	95% (Mar 23)	92% (Jun 23)	93% (Sep 23)	Not comparable
	budget	iii versus	(Oep 22)	(Dec 22)	(IVIAI 23)	(Juli 23)		
Page 120	from underrepres groups: • 17-35 • PEME • Disab • Fema	ented age 3	3 out of 5 of the protected characteristics are under- represented in recruits (Apr 22 to Sep 22)	3 out of 5 of the protected characteristics are under- represented in recruits (Apr 22 to Dec 22)	3 out of 5 of the protected characteristics are under- represented in recruits (Apr 22 to Mar 23)	4 out of 5 of the protected characteristics are under- represented in recruits (Apr 22 to Mar 23)	4 out of 5 of the protected characteristics are under- represented in recruits (Apr 22 to Sep 23)	Joiners as % of all joiners: • Age group 16-35: England 34% and Surrey 35% • Ethnic minority: England 14% and Surrey 9% • Women: England 25% and Surrey 29% • Disabled and Sexuality comparisons not available (HO Fire Stats 21/22) Apr 23 – Sept '23 • 17-35 = 54% • EM = 2% • Disability = 0% • Female = 27% • LGBTQ+ = 0%
E	Days/shifts I sickness abs Year to date	sence –	7.1 (Apr 22 to Sep 22)	10.4 (Apr 22 to Dec 22)	14.1 (Apr 22 to Mar 23)	2.92 (Apr 23 to Jun 23)	5.96 (Apr 23 to Sep 23)	Whole service comparison not available, but comparison minus on call staff: England average was 9.4, and Surrey 12.4 (Cleveland NHR 22/23)
E	Days lost to absence – 1 rolling year		11.8 (Oct 21 to Sep 22)	12.2 (Jan 22 to Dec 22)	14.1 (Apr 22 to Mar 23)	16.3 (Jul 22 to Jun 23)	12.9 (Oct 22 to Sep 23)	See above

Page 26



	Ref	KPI	Q2 22/23	Q3 22/23	Q4 22/23	Q1 22/23	Q2 23/24	Benchmark
	E7	Near-misses as a %	69%	74%	76%	74%	73%	There is no direct benchmark. The Inspectorate provide
		of all workplace	(Apr 22 to Sep	(Apr 22 to Dec	(Apr 22 to Mar	(Apr 23 to Jun	(Apr 23 to Sep	benchmarking on RIDDOR injuries per 100 FTE. In 2021/22
		incidents	22)	22)	23)	23)	23)	Surrey was around the mid-point.
	E8	Days lost to injury	184	197	197	9	55	See above
			(Apr 22 to Sep	(Apr 22 to Dec	(Apr 22 to Mar	(Apr 23 to Jun	(Apr 23 to Sep	
			22)	22)	23)	23)	23)	
	E9	Fitness assessments	96%	97%	96%	96%	96%	Surrey was in the second-best quartile for fitness tests
		in date	(Sep 22)	(Dec 22)	(Mar 23)	(Mar 23)	(Sep 23)	completed (HMICFRS Data Analysis 21/22)
\neg	E10	3 yearly medical	84%	93%	89%	84%	87%	Not comparable
Page		assessments in date	(Jan 20 to Jun	(Jan 21 to Dec	(Jan 22 to Dec	(Jan 23 to Jun	(Jan 23 to Sep	
ge		attendances as %	20)	21)	22)	23)	23)	
121		of those due						
	E11	8 core competencies	4 out of 8	7 out of 8	8 out of 8	8 out of 8	8 out of 8	Not comparable
		in date	achieved	achieved	achieved	achieved	achieved	
			target	target	target	target	target	
			(Sep 22)	(Dec 22)	(Mar 23)	(Jun 23)	(Sep 23)	

This page is intentionally left blank